

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/695,867	10/26/2000	Masahiro Konishi	MAS.003	3192	
21254	7590 11/21/2	2			
MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC			EXAMINER		
SUITE 200	OURTHOUSE ROA	•	LE, LANA N		
VIENNA, VA 22182-3817			· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2684		
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/2002	DATE MAILED: 11/21/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/695,867	KONISHI, MASAHIRO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lana Le	2684				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS a, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	<u>October 2000</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.						
<u> </u>	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement					
Application Papers	or election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	pted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority document 	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	* *					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Detect and Trademati Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/695,867

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilska et al (US 6,427,078) in view of Honda et al (US 6,477,353).
- Regarding claim 1, Wilska et al discloses an cellular mobile phone unit 17 that receives data of at least one of an image and characters (data transmission) through an inherent base station (col 3, lines 36-60; col 5, line 65 col 6, line 13; fig. 3), comprising: a designating device that designates an apparatus (PC) to which the received data is to be transmitted (col 3, lines 54-65); and a wireless communicating device 17 that communicates with the apparatus without the transmitting provider (col 2, lines 61-65; col 4, line 65 col 5, line 3); and transmits the data to the apparatus designated by said designating device (col 3, lines 57-65). Wilska et al didn't specifically disclose receiving data via a transmitting provider and a designating device that causes information to be transmitted to another apparatus. Honda et al discloses receiving data from a transmitting provider (fixed station 101) (col 1, lines 15-24) and a designating device that causes information to be transmitted to another apparatus (col

Art Unit: 2684

6, lines 49-54). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a transmitting provider in order to relay specific information from an information source to a mobile station and re-transmitting the specific information to another apparatus without much power needed for efficient transmission.

Regarding claim 2, Honda et al et al further discloses a telephone as set forth in claim 1, further comprising a displaying device that displays that the telephone has received information on data of the at least one of image and characters through the transmitting provider (col 6, lines 45-49; col 5, lines 25-30).

Regarding claim 3, Honda et al further discloses the telephone as set forth in claim 2, wherein: said displaying device displays the received information; and said designating device designates the data to be received from the information displayed by said displaying device (col 6, lines 40-54).

Regarding claim 4, Wilska et al discloses a data transmitting method (col 3, lines 53-60) for a telephone 17, comprising the steps of:

receiving data of at least one of an image and characters through an inherent transmitting provider (col 5, line 59 – col 6, line 13); designating an apparatus to which the received data is to be transmitted (col 2, lines 61-65; col 4, line 65 - col 5, line 3); and transmitting the data to the designated apparatus with a wireless communicating device that communicates with the apparatus without the transmitting provider (col 3, lines 57-65). Wilska et al didn't specifically disclose receiving data through a transmitting provider and causing information to be transmitted to a designating

apparatus. Honda et al discloses receiving data from a transmitting provider (fixed station 101) (col 1, lines 15-24) and a designating device that causes information to be transmitted to another apparatus (col 6, lines 49-54). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a transmitting provider in order to relay user selected information from an information source to a mobile station and re-transmitting the information to another apparatus without much power needed for efficient transmission.

Regarding claim 5, Honda et al further discloses the data transmitting method for the telephone as set forth in claim 4, further comprising the step of displaying that the telephone has received information on data of the at least one of image and characters through the transmitting provider (col 6, lines 45-49; col 5, lines 25-30).

Regarding claim 6, Honda et al further discloses a transmitting method for the telephone as set forth in claim 5, wherein: the displaying step comprises the step of displaying the received information; and the data to be received is designated from the received information (col 6, lines 40-54).

Conclusion

8. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for formal communications intended for entry)

OL:

Page 5

(for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to the Crystal Park II, 2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or communications from the examiner should be directed to Lana Le whose telephone number is (703) 308-5836 and to the supervisory patent examiner Daniel Hunter whose telephone number is (703) 308-6732.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-6750.

Gana Ge

November 17, 2002

(haf) 11/8/02